

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/001,245	11/15/2001	Jens Holm	4305/1H942-US2	9286
75	90 09/16/2005		EXAMINER	
DARBY & DARBY P.C. 805 Third Avenue			NOLAN, PATRICK J	
New York, NY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			1644	**************************************
			DATE MAILED: 09/16/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/001,245	HOLM ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Patrick J. Nolan	1644					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	;				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING DURING THE MAILING DURING STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING DURING STATE OF THE MAILING STATE OF THE	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION AND A STREET OF THE ATE OF THE OF THE ATE OF THE ATE OF THE OF THE OF THE ATE OF THE	ATION.  ly be timely filed  IS from the mailing date of this communi NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·				
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 Ja</u>	ulv 2005						
·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	s action is non-final.						
′=	Since this application is in condition for allowa		s, prosecution as to the meri	its is				
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-22,25,26,28,35,37-39,64 and 66-85</u>	5 is/are pending in the applic	ation.					
·, <b>_</b>	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)🖂	Claim(s) 1-22, 25, 26, 28, 35, 37-39, 64 and 6	6-85 is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.						
Applicati	ion Papers		•					
9)[]	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
· · ·	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		the Examiner.	•				
·	Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•		21(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached (	Office Action or form PTO-15	2.				
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		.,,,,					
•	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in App	olication No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	rity documents have been re	eceived in this National Stage	<b></b>				
	application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•					
* \$	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not re	eceived.					
Attachmen								
	te of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sur Paper No(s)/						
3) Infon	te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date		ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/001,245 Page 2

Art Unit: 1644

1. Claims 1-22, 25, 26, 28,35, 37-39, 64, 66-85 are pending. It is noted that elected species SEO ID NO. 36 was found to be free of the art.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-22, 35, 64, 66-82 stand provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by copending Application No. 10/719,553, now published US patent Application 20040091500, which has a common inventor with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the copending application, it would constitute prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e), if published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b) or patented. This provisional rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) is based upon a presumption of future publication or patenting of the copending application. The copending application discloses some of the same Bet v1 mutants, disclosed in the current application, for use in allergen therapy. See claim 26 in application 10/719,553 for example.

This provisional rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the copending application was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131. This rejection may not be overcome by the filing of a terminal disclaimer. See *In re Bartfeld*, 925 F.2d 1450, 17 USPQ2d 1885 (Fed. Cir. 1991).

Art Unit: 1644

4. Claims 1-22, 35, 64-82 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by WO 99/47680 (Reference 1 on the IDS submitted 3-7-02).

Page 3

Applicant's arguments filed 7-5-05 in response to both 35 USC 102(e) and 102(b) rejections have been fully considered but are not found persuasive.

Applicant argues that neither the '680 patent nor the 10/719,553 patent application teach the claimed invention drawn to 4 or more primary mutations, as required by claim 1.

However, on page 16 of the '680 patent, it clearly discloses the same exact primary mutations as taught by the instant specification. Furthermore, it also teaches that "As apparent, the recombinant allergens ma have one or more substitutions". One of ordinary skill in the art using the teachings of the '680 patent could readily envisage a mutant allergen with 4 primary mutations, each of which is more than 15 Angstroms apart (Thr10Pro, Glu45Ser, Thr77Ala, Pro108Gly, for example).

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

6. Claims 1-22, 35, 64, 66-82 stand provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 36-96 of

Application/Control Number: 10/001,245 Page 4

Art Unit: 1644

copending Application No. 10/719,553. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both sets of claims arrive at similar allergenic variants, and by what appears to the Examiner by the same method of selection, or if not by an obvious variant thereof.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Applicant has argued that claims 13-27 were cancelled by preliminary amendment in 10/719,553.

Claims 36-96 teach a mutant Bet V1 allergen with 1 or more substitutions, wherein said substitutions are mostly identical between the '719 application and the instant application.

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

- 8. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
- 9. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Application/Control Number: 10/001,245 Page 5

Art Unit: 1644

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patrick Nolan whose telephone number is 571-272-0847.

If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan, can be reached at 571-272-0841.

Patrick J. Nolan, Ph.D.

Primary Examiner, Group 1640

September 13, 2005